

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE FORMER GREENUP COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES

March 26, 1999

EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF 1998 SHERIFF'S TAX SETTLEMENT MARCH 26, 1999

We have completed our audit of the former Greenup County Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's 1998 tax account.

The audit report contains the following comments or recommendations:

- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Close His Tax Accounts By Collecting All Receivables And Paying All Amounts Owed
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Obtained A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid The Boards of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's County Revenue Bond Should Have Adequately Protected The County From Potential Loss
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax Account And Fee Account

<u>Contents</u>	PAGE
-----------------	------

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES4	
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT6	5
SCHEDULE OF EXCESS OF LIABILITIES OVER ASSETS	3
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	14
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN A GOOD ANGE WITH COMPANY AND THE STANDARDS.	22
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS2	22



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Robert W. Carpenter, Greenup County Judge/Executive
Honorable Keith Martin Cooper, Greenup County Sheriff
Honorable Earl R. Marshall, Former Greenup County Sheriff
Members of the Greenup County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the former Greenup County Sheriff's Settlement - 1998 Taxes as of March 26, 1999. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the former Greenup County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The former Sheriff prepared his financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the former Greenup County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of March 26, 1999, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in the preceding paragraph.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. The schedule listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statement. Such information has been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Robert W. Carpenter, Greenup County Judge/Executive
Honorable Keith Martin Cooper, Greenup County Sheriff
Honorable Earl R. Marshall, Former Greenup County Sheriff
Members of the Greenup County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discuss the following areas of noncompliance:

- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Close His Tax Accounts By Collecting All Receivables And Paying All Amounts Owed
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Obtained A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid The Boards of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's County Revenue Bond Should Have Adequately Protected The County From Potential Loss
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax Account And Fee Account

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated May 25, 2000, on our consideration of the former Sheriff's compliance with certain laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 25, 2000

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES

March 26, 1999

Special
Special

				Special				
<u>Charges</u>	Cou	unty Taxes	Tax	king Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	St	ate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	736,207	\$	1,684,370	\$	4,414,014	\$	1,265,614
Tangible Personal Property		115,117		112,239		579,109		315,858
Intangible Personal Property								129,213
Oil and Gas Property		58		124		356		99
Fire Protection		2,579						
Franchise Corporation		85,448		139,209		435,823		
Additional Billings		13,422		27,038		75,414		23,083
Increased Through Erroneous								
Assessments		363		1,441		2,503		624
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(14)		35		5		10
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	953,180	\$	1,964,456	\$	5,507,224	\$	1,734,501
<u>Credits</u>								
Discounts	\$	10,832	\$	22,679	\$	62,503	\$	23,689
Exonerations		23,570		49,153		145,371		30,811
Transfer To Incoming Sheriff		138,470		298,493		834,834		240,010
Total Credits	\$	172,872	\$	370,325	\$	1,042,708	\$	204 510
Total Credits	<u> </u>	1/2,0/2	<u> </u>	370,323	Φ	1,042,708	Φ	294,510
Net Tax Yield	\$	780,308	\$	1,594,131	\$	4,464,516	\$	1,439,991
Less: Commissions (a)		33,451		67,751		101,765		61,487
Net Taxes Due	\$	746,857	\$	1,526,380	\$	4,362,751	\$	1,378,504
Taxes Paid		745,650		1,524,776	·	4,354,601		1,378,591
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		1,034		1,483		6,412		1,873
Duo Districts on (Defende Duo Cheriff)				(L)		(2)		
Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)	ф	172	¢	(b)	Φ	(c)	Ф	(1.060)
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	173	\$	121	\$	1,738	\$	(1,960)

(a), (b), and (c) See Page 4

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 1998 TAXES March 26, 1999 (Continued)

/ \	a
(a)	Commissions:
(4)	Commissions.

10% on	\$ 10,000
4.25% on	\$ 3,804,430
2.5 % on	\$ 2,494,917
2% on	\$ 1,969,599

(b) Special Taxing Districts:

Library District	\$ 101
Health District	45
Extension District	21
Ambulance District	(62)
South Shore Fire District	(25)
Lloyd Fire District	22
Maloneton Fire District	(6)
Little Sandy Fire District	72
City of South Shore	 (47)

Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff)	\$ 12	41
--	-------	----

(c) School Taxing Districts:

Common School District	\$ 1,553
Russell School District	112
Raceland School District	73
	_
Due Districts	\$ 1,738

GREENUP COUNTY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

March 26, 1999

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The former Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), depository institutions should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff's office and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. The depository institution did pledge or provide sufficient collateral, and the depository institution's board of directors or loan committee did approve the pledge or provision. However, the depository institution did not have a written agreement with the former Sheriff securing the former Sheriff's interest in the collateral.

GREENUP COUNTY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS March 26, 1999 (Continued)

Note 3. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 1998. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 1999. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 23, 1998 through January 4, 1999.

Note 5. Interest Income

The former Greenup County Sheriff earned \$10,606 as interest income on 1998 taxes. The former Sheriff did not distribute any of the interest income to the school districts as required by statute. Instead, he distributed all interest income to his official fee account. The former Sheriff should return \$5,477 from his official fee account to his tax account and pay interest income to the school districts as noted in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

Note 6. Subsequent Event

The former Sheriff filed a lawsuit claiming NOW account interest earned on tax collections was not investment income which would be partially due the school districts. The courts have ruled against the Sheriff and the interest is now due the schools.

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF EXCESS OF LIABILITIES OVER ASSETS

March 26, 1999

<u>Assets</u>

Cash in Bank (All Tax Accounts)					\$ 5,648 6,119
Deposits In-Transit Receivables:					0,119
1994 Tax Overpayment Receivable-					
State	\$	2,724			
	Φ	309			
Little Sandy Fire District Wurtland Fire District			¢	2 607	
		664	\$	3,697	
1995 Tax Overpayment Receivables-	\$	1 714			
State Common School District	Ф	1,714			
		19			
Russell School District		6			
Raceland School District		13			
Library District Health District		9 2			
		2			
Lloyd Fire District					
Load Fire District		16		2.000	
Ambulance District		288		2,069	
1996 Tax Overpayment Receivable-	¢.	404			
Greenup County Fiscal Court	\$	484			
Common School District		959			
Library District		41			
Extension District		113			
South Shore Fire District		532			
Oldtown Fire District		1,664			
Firebrick Fire District		158			
Little Sandy Fire District		397			
Load Fire District		190			
City of South Shore		826		5,364	
1997 Tax Overpayment Receivables-					
State	\$	531			
Common School District		441			
Russell School District		514			
Raceland School District		2,769			
City of Greenup		144			
Lloyd Fire District		251			
Oldtown Fire District		7			
Firebrick Fire District		52			
Little Sandy Fire District		72		4,781	

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF EXCESS OF LIABILITIES OVER ASSETS March 26, 1999 (Continued)

Receivables: (Continued)					
1998 Tax Overpayment Receivable-	¢.	1.000			
State	\$	1,960			
Ambulance District		62			
South Shore Fire District		25			
Maloneton Fire District		6	Ф	2.100	
City of South Shore		47	\$	2,100	
1998 Taxes Due From New Sheriff-				5,357	
Due From Fee Account-					
1994 Taxing District Overpayments Refunded	\$	7,627			
1995 Taxing District Overpayments Refunded		8,876			
1995 Tax Commission Overpayments		357			
1996 Taxing District Overpayments Refunded		8,345			
1996 Russell School District Tax Commissions Refunded		28,750			
1997 Tax Commission Overpayments		4,712			
1993 Interest Overpayments		8,720			
1994 Interest Overpayments		8,003			
1995 Interest Overpayments		7,660			
1997 Interest Overpayments		2,928			
1998 Interest Overpayments		5,477		91,455	
1 3					
Total Receivables					\$ 114,823
Total Assets					\$ 126,590
<u>Liabilities</u>					
Liabilities:					
Outstanding Checks			\$	464	
Unpaid Obligations-					
1995 Taxes Due					
Greenup County Fiscal Court	\$	133			
Extension District		8		141	
1996 Taxes Due					
Russell School District	\$	779			
Raceland School District		774			
Health District		5,293			
Wurtland Fire District		401			
TI IE' D'. '.					
Lloyd Fire District		839			
Lloyd Fire District Maloneton Fire District					
-		1,350			
Maloneton Fire District				10,397	

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF EXCESS OF LIABILITIES OVER ASSETS March 26, 1999 (Continued)

<u>Liabilities</u> (Continued)

Unpaid Obligations- (Continued)				
1997 Taxes Due				
Greenup County Fiscal Court	\$	904		
Library District		355		
Health District		296		
Extension District		135		
City of South Shore		56		
Ambulance District		1,227		
South Shore Fire District		142		
Wurtland Fire District		154		
Maloneton Fire District		105		
Load Fire District		25	\$	3,399
1998 Taxes Due			_	-,
Greenup County Fiscal Court	\$	173		
Common School District	*	1,553		
Russell School District		112		
Raceland School District		73		
Library District		101		
Health District		45		
Extension District		21		
Lloyd Fire District		22		
Little Sandy Fire		72		2,172
1995 Investment Interest-				_,
Common School District	\$	63		
Russell School District	Ψ	60		
Raceland School District		23		146
1993 Checking Account Interest-				110
Common School District	\$	3,583		
Russell School District	Ψ	3,810		
Raceland School District		1,327		8,720
1994 Checking Account Interest-	-	1,327		0,720
Common School District	\$	3,341		
Russell School District	Ψ	3,434		
Raceland School District		1,228		8,003
1995 Checking Account Interest-		1,220		0,005
Common School District	\$	3,130		
Russell School District	Ψ	3,326		
Raceland School District		1,204		7,660
1996 Checking Account Interest-		1,207		7,000
Common School District	\$	1,257		
Russell School District	Ψ	1,247		
Raceland School District		448		2,952
Racciana penoor District				4,754

GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF SCHEDULE OF EXCESS OF LIABILITIES OVER ASSETS March 26, 1999 (Continued)

<u>Liabilities</u> (Continued)	 		
Unpaid Obligations- (Continued)			
1997 Checking Account Interest-			
Common School District	\$ 1,267		
Russell School District	1,274		
Raceland School District	331	\$ 2,872	
1998 Checking Account Interest-	 		
Common School District	\$ 2,216		
Russell School District	2,424		
Raceland School District	855	5,495	
Amounts Due To Fee Account-	 		
1993 Unmined Coal Commission	\$ 259		
1995 Checking Account Interest	1,983		
1995 Investment Interest	6		
1995 Sheriff's Fees	176		
1995 Line of Credit Repaid By Fee Account	18,000		
1996 Checking Account Interest	3,063		
Cash Transfers	 50,242	\$ 73,729	
1995 Advertising Fees Due Fiscal Court		440	
Total Liabilities			\$ 126,590
Cumulative Deficit As of March 26, 1999			\$ 0



GREENUP COUNTY EARL R. MARSHALL, FORMER SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

March 26, 1999

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

1. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Close His Tax Accounts By Collecting All Receivables And Paying All Amounts Owed

The proper distribution of tax money collected by the former Sheriff requires the collection of receivables (including overpayments to some taxing districts) and the payment of these funds to the appropriate taxing districts. The following net collections and net payables should be made:

Money Due From Others:			
1998 Fee Account	\$ 17,716		
State	6,929		
Oldtown Fire District	1,671		
City of Southshore	817		
Little Sandy Fire District	706		
Southshore Fire District	415		
Firebrick Fire District	210		
Load Fire District	181		
Wurtland Fire District	109		
New Sheriff	 5,367		
Total Due From Others		\$	34,121
Money Due To Others:			
Health District	\$ 5,632		
Raceland School District	3,481		
Common School District	14,991		
Russell School District	15,946		
Maloneton Fire District	1,449		
Greenup County Fiscal Court	1,166		
Ambulance District	1,128		
City of Greenup	566		
Lloyd Fire District	608		
Library District	406		
Extension District	 51	-	
Total Due Others		\$	45,424

The above collections and distributions, along with the available tax bank account cash, will be sufficient to close the former Sheriff's tax accounts for his term of office. We recommend the former Sheriff collect the above \$34,121 of receivables and combined with the available cash pay the listed \$45,424 of money owed. The former Sheriff's fee account close-out will be detailed in a separate audit report.

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's Response:

None.

2. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Obtained A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), depository institutions should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. As of November 13 1998, the former Sheriff had bank deposits of \$6,489,626; FDIC insurance of \$100,000; and collateral pledged or provided of \$6,750,000. Even though the Sheriff obtained sufficient collateral of \$6,750,000, there was no written agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, securing the Sheriff's interest in the collateral. We recommend the Sheriff's office enter into a written agreement with the depository institution to secure the Sheriff's interest in the collateral pledged or provided by the depository institution. According to federal law, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1823(e), this agreement, in order to be recognized as valid by the FDIC, should be (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's Response:

Banks did provide pledge and had an agreement.

Auditor's Reply:

The agreement was not signed by the bank or the former Sheriff.

3. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid Boards Of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes

Pursuant to KRS 134.140(3)(b), the Sheriff's office shall pay to the Board of Education the proper share of interest earned on investment of school taxes. The proper share shall be determined by comparing the school tax to the total taxes collected and using that percentage as a factor. Total school checking interest earned from 1993 through 1998 was \$35,702. The Common School District's share was \$14,794, the Russell School District's share was \$15,515, and the Raceland School District's share was \$5,393. The former Sheriff has not paid any checking account interest to the schools. We have included these payments in the settlement amounts discussed in comment one.

3. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid Boards Of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes (Continued)

The following schedule illustrates interest due each school district for tax years 1993 through 1998.

							Tota	al Interest
	C	ommon	F	Russell	Raceland		Due School	
Tax Year	S	chools	S	Schools	S	chools	D	istricts
1993	\$	3,583	\$	3,810	\$	1,327	\$	8,720
1994		3,341		3,434		1,228		8,003
1995		3,130		3,326		1,204		7,660
1996		1,257		1,247		448		2,952
1997		1,267		1,274		331		2,872
1998		2,216		2,424		855		5,495
	·							
Total	\$	14,794	\$	15,515	\$	5,393	\$	35,702

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's Response:

Common School has already made a settlement on interest. Court case about interest payment to school districts is still pending.

Auditor's Reply:

Since former Sheriff Marshall submitted his response, the court case has been finally concluded in favor of the Auditor. KRS 134.140(3)(b) mandates that former Sheriff Marshall pay the interest due to all three school district boards of education of Greenup County. These interest amounts are owed to the boards of education, notwithstanding any agreements to the contrary. We have included these interest amounts now due and payable by former Sheriff Marshall in the net "Money Due to Others" column in comment one.

4. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's County Revenue Bond Should Have Adequately Protected The County From Potential Loss

KRS 134.230 allows the fiscal court to require the Sheriff's office to obtain a county revenue bond. As of the audit date, the amount of the former Sheriff's county revenue bond was \$250,000. In our opinion, this amount was insufficient to adequately protect the county from potential loss. We recommend the fiscal court review the county revenue bond of the Sheriff's office and take appropriate action necessary to ensure that the county is adequately protected.

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's Response:

No comment.

5. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax And Fee Account

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall made numerous transfers between the tax account and fee account during the 1998 tax year. This practice mixes tax and fee account monies that should be kept separate at all times. KRS 134.170 forbids the use of tax monies for other purposes than that for which they were intended. Tax collections may not be used to fund fee account operations. Tax commissions may be transferred to the fee account, but tax collections may not be used to fund fee account operations. These transfers indicate the former Sheriff was using tax collections to fund fee account operations. Further, the former Sheriff did not book the return of transfers as a liability, creating a misstatement of the cash balance for each account. As of March 26, 1999, the fee account owes the tax account \$17,716 net for cash transfers not repaid. We recommend the former Sheriff repay the balance of \$17,716 from the fee account to the tax account. We further recommend the Sheriff's office refrain from transferring funds between the tax and fee accounts (other than normal commission transfers).

Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's Response:

We disagree with this amount and feel that all transfers have been repaid.

PRIOR YEAR:

In our prior year audit, we reported the following items that were not corrected and are reported in our current year audit.

- 1. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Eliminate The Deficit In His 1997 Tax Account
- 2. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid The Boards of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes
- 3. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall's County Revenue Bond Should Have Adequately Protected The County From Potential Loss
- 4. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax Account And Fee Account
- 5. Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Required Depository Institutions To Pledge Additional Collateral And Entered Into A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Honorable Robert W. Carpenter, Greenup County Judge/Executive Honorable Keith Martin Cooper, Greenup County Sheriff Honorable Earl R. Marshall, Former Greenup County Sheriff Members of the Greenup County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the former Greenup County Sheriff's Settlement - 1998 Taxes as of March 26, 1999. We have issued our report thereon dated May 25, 2000. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> which are described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid Boards Of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investment Of School Taxes
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax And Fee Account

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the former Greenup County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition.

Honorable Robert W. Carpenter, Greenup County Judge/Executive
Honorable Keith Martin Cooper, Greenup County Sheriff
Honorable Earl R. Marshall, Former Greenup County Sheriff
Members of the Greenup County Fiscal Court
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

<u>Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> (Continued)

Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. We consider the following findings reportable conditions.

- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Have Paid Boards Of Education Their Proper Share Of Interest Earned On Investments Of School Taxes
- Former Sheriff Earl R. Marshall Should Not Have Made Transfers Between The Tax And Fee Account

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable conditions described above to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 25, 2000